

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,774		06/29/2001	Jorge A. Arroyo	6169-198	2033	
40987	759	90 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
		ENTERFITT	RUDY, ANDREW J			
P. O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		,		3627		
				DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/896,774	ARROYO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2004 & 07 January 200	<u>5</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3)[, 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-19 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	-						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
	···	, — ··· — ·					

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 5-9 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Claims 1-4 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant is directed to the previous Office Action. Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. The fact that the preliminary classification was placed in 705/26 is not controlling. In short, Applicant's claim language may be practiced by hand, with a paper and pencil/pen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Webber, Jr., US 6,167,378.

Webber discloses a method where an order is received from a first trading partner system, e.g. 251, for tracking a unique identifier, e.g. claims 7, 11, 17, a transaction contract, e.g. cols. 16-17, lines 64-5, in a supply chain system, e.g. 277, and linking a status, e.g. 101, formatted in a particular channel, e.g. col. 13, lines 50-66, to a second partner system, e.g. 503. The partner systems, e.g. 251, 503 may be viewed as disparate systems.

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant September 23, 2004 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant's claim language does not preclude a central authority. Also, because cost may be an obstacle, it is not an insurmountable one. Thus, increased costs alone do not preclude the Weber reference. Applicant's REMARKS that Webber fails to disclose linking an order and disclose an activity status is opposite to the disclosure, e.g. customer site one may track the order and check on purchase transaction. This in broad scope and content meets Applicant's claim language. Finally, the invention Applicant has presently claimed does not require the computer system disclosed by Webber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 10-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabbiere et al., US 2002/0013721. Dabbiere discloses exposing an order status for a supply chain having disparate systems comprising trading partners, e.g. 220, 240, having a plurality of accesschannels, linking an order status, e.g. [0028], a central

Art Unit: 3627

exchange, e.g. 210, and reporting the linked status, e.g. sending an alert. Dabbiere does not disclose an identifier. Official Notice is taken that identifiers used with business transactions have been common knowledge in the art. To have provided such for Dabbiere would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for providing such would have been to keep commerce flowing using a common knowledge secure confidential transaction mechanism. The trading partner systems may be viewed as having different architectures and being independently developed.

- 6. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808 (571-272-6789 after April 13, 2005). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anchew Joseph Front